

Atul

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 2586 OF 2019

Mitesh Varshney	...Petitioner
<i>Versus</i>	
Department of Law & Ors	...Respondents

Mr Mitesh Varshney, *Petitioner in person, present.*
Mr Akshay Shinde, *for Respondents Nos. 1 to 3.*
Ms Geeta R Shastri, *Additional GP, for Respondent No. 4-State.*

CORAM: S. C. DHARMADHIKARI &
G.S. PATEL, JJ
DATED: 4th October 2019

PC:-

1. Mr Shinde states that the petitioner has been admitted to Group-I in the LL.M. degree course.

2. Once the petitioner's substantial grievance has been redressed and he has been admitted, for such other reliefs like compensation or payment of litigation charges are concerned, the petitioner will have to initiate and institute separate proceedings. In that he will have to establish and prove that the loss or damage is caused and that is why the compensation should be awarded. A writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India cannot

justifiably be a remedy to grant such relief and on one sided version of the petitioner.

3. The writ petition is, therefore, disposed of.

(S. C. DHARMADHIKARI, J)

(G. S. PATEL, J)