

office objections within a period of two weeks from the grant of the Chamber Order. An affidavit-in-reply has been filed by Defendant No.2 which opposes grant of the Chamber Order.

2 The learned Counsel appearing for Defendant No.2 has very fairly stated that he is not opposed the Chamber Order subject to the rights and contentions which have been raised by Defendant No.2 in the affidavit-in-reply to the Chamber Order being expressly kept open to urge in the Supreme Court where the proceedings are pending.

3 Considering that the Chamber Order is not currently being opposed either by Defendant No.1 or Defendant No.2, the Chamber Order is required to be allowed and is signed separately. It is made clear that the rights and contentions of Defendant No.1 as well as Defendant No.2 are expressly kept open to be urged in the proceedings before the Supreme Court.

(R.I. CHAGLA, J.)