

Shephali

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 68 OF 2012
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 1544 OF 2012**

Chetak Coopeative Housing Scoeity Ltd	...Petitioner
<i>Versus</i>	
Sandhu B uilders & Anr	...Respondents

**Mr JP Sen, Senior Advocate, with Mr SB Pawar, Ms Swati Sawant
& Ms Nikita Jacob, i/b SK Legal Associates, for the Petitioner.
Mr Parikshit Dessai, for the Respondents.**

**CORAM: S. C. DHARMADHIKARI &
G.S. PATEL, JJ
DATED: 4th June 2019**

PC:-

1. Heard both sides and perusing the order which is interim and which only directs parties to maintain status quo, we do not think that the allegations as made in the Contempt Petition can be a foundation for initiating action under the Contempt of Courts Act,

1971. If the order is capable of more than one meaning then no case of contempt can be founded on such an order.

2. The Contempt Petition is misconceived and it is dismissed.

(G. S. PATEL, J)

(S. C. DHARMADHIKARI, J)