IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.111 OF 2013

The Commissioner of Income Tax-12, Mumbai.... Appellant
V/s.
Shri Vinod Shivprasad Vaid ... Respondent

WITH
INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.1188 OF 2013

Commissioner of Income Tax-12 ... Appellant
V/s.
M/s Sukani Enterprises ... Respondent

WITH
INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.1901 OF 2013

Commissioner of Income Tax-13 ... Appellant
V/s.
Vivek Arya (HUF) ... Respondent

WITH
INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.63 OF 2014

Commissioner of Income Tax-12 ... Appellant
V/s.
Shri Tikuchand D. Jogani ... Respondent

WITH
INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.1033 OF 2015

The Principal Commissioner of Income Tax-17... Appellant
V/s.
Shri Jaikishan S. Vaswani ... Respondent

WITH
INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.12 OF 2018

The Commissioner of Income-tax(TDS)-1 … Appellant
V/s.
Laqshya Media Pvt. Ltd. … Respondent

Mr.P.C.Chhotaray for the Appellants.
Mr.Atul Jasani for the Respondent in ITXA Nos.111 of 2013, 1986 of 2013 and 1901 of 2013.
Mr.Upendra Lokegaonkar i/by M/s MINT & CONFRERES for the Respondent in ITXA No.1188 of 2013.
Mr.Ashok Patil for the Respondent in ITXA No.63 of 2014.
Mr.Jitendra Singh for the Respondent in ITXA No.1033 of 2015.

CORAM : AKIL KURESHI AND
M.S.SANKLECHA, JJ.
DATE : MARCH 04, 2019.

P.C.:-

1. These Appeals under Section 260-A of the Income Tax Act, 1961 (the Act) challenge the orders passed by the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal (the Tribunal).

2. Mr.P.C.Chhotaray, learned Counsel appearing for the Revenue states that he has been instructed not to press these appeals. This for the reason that the tax effect in each of these appeals is less than Rs.50 lakhs as provided in CBDT Circular No.3 of 2018 dated 11th July, 2018.

3. Accordingly, all these Appeals are dismissed as not pressed.

4. Refund of Court Fees, as per Rules.

(M.S.SANKLECHA,J.) **(AKIL KURESHI,J.)**

....