

Sharayu Khot.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 969 OF 2011

Kotak Mahindra Bank **...Plaintiff**

Versus

Sanjeev Narayan Sawant **...Defendant**

**WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 970 OF 2011**

Kotak Mahindra Bank **...Plaintiff**

Versus

Mr. Nikhil Pakath **...Defendant**

**WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 971 OF 2011**

Kotak Mahindra Bank **...Plaintiff**

Versus

Mr. Rati Krushna Ram Pattanayak **...Defendant**

**WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 973 OF 2011**

Kotak Mahindra Bank **...Plaintiff**

Versus

Mr. Prakash Jain **...Defendant**

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 974 OF 2011

Kotak Mahindra Bank ...Plaintiff

Versus

Mr. Virendra Himmatlal Soni ...Defendant

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 975 OF 2011

Kotak Mahindra Bank ...Plaintiff

Versus

Pooja Arvind Singh ...Defendant

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 976 OF 2011

Kotak Mahindra Bank ...Plaintiff

Versus

Mr. Tippana H Karnapnor ...Defendant

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 977 OF 2011

Kotak Mahindra Bank ...Plaintiff

Versus

Mr. Nilesh Anant Panchal ...Defendant

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 978 OF 2011

Kotak Mahindra Bank ...Plaintiff

Versus

Mr. Santlal Nandlal Halwai ...Defendant

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 979 OF 2011

Kotak Mahindra Prime Limited ...Plaintiff

Versus

Lifeline Industries Limited & Ors. ...Defendants

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 980 OF 2011

Kotak Mahindra Prime Limited ...Plaintiff

Versus

Lifeline Industries Limited & Ors. ...Defendants

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 982 OF 2011

M/s. Kotak Mahindra Prime Ltd. ...Plaintiff

Versus

Mr. Chunilal Kishorilal Gupta ...Defendant

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 983 OF 2011

M/s. Kotak Mahindra Prime Ltd. ...Plaintiff

Versus

Ms. Harsha Ramchand ...Defendant

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 984 OF 2011

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 985 OF 2011

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 986 OF 2011

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 987 OF 2011

M/s. Kotak Mahindra Prime Ltd. ...Plaintiff

Versus

Sunil Dhirajlal Mehta ...Defendant

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 988 OF 2011

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 989 OF 2011

M/s. Kotak Mahindra Prime Ltd. ...Plaintiff

Versus

New Heaven Steel Ball Corporation Pvt. Ltd. ...Defendant

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 990 OF 2011

M/s. Kotak Mahindra Prime Ltd. ...Plaintiff

Versus

Mr. Nilesh Tukaram Salaskar ...Defendant

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 991 OF 2011

Kotak Mahindra Bank Limited ...Plaintiff

Versus

Shri. Mohd Akmal Mohd Yunus Khan & Ors. ...Defendants

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 995 OF 2011

M/s. Shreekar Polyester Pvt.Ltd. ...Plaintiff

Versus

M/s. Kumar International ...Defendant

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 996 OF 2011

Guardian Share & Stock Broker Pvt.Ltd. ...Plaintiff

Versus

Mahesh Kothari Shares & Stock Brokers P.
Ltd. ...Defendant

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 1006 OF 2011

Kotak Mahindra Bank ...Plaintiff

Versus

Santlal Nandlal Halwai ...Defendant

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 1007 OF 2011

Kotak Mahindra Bank Limited ...Plaintiff

Versus

Amin Niyamat Shaikh ...Defendant

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 1008 OF 2011

Kotak Mahindra Bank Limited ...Plaintiff

Versus

Anil Deepchand Rajpal ...Defendant

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 1010 OF 2011

(No R & P.)

Hathway Media Vision Pvt. Ltd. ...Plaintiff

Versus

M/s. Spider Cable ...Defendant

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 1013 OF 2011
(No R & P.)

Prakash Harakhchand Rathod ...Plaintiff

Versus

P. Kannaya ...Defendant

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 1018 OF 2011

Indiabulls Financial Services Ltd. ...Plaintiff

Versus

M/s. Shree Hari Foods & Ors. ...Defendant

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 1038 OF 2011

Kotak Mahindra Bank Limited ...Plaintiff

Versus

Sandip Shivaji Naik ...Defendant

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 1040 OF 2011

Kotak Mahindra Bank Limited ...Plaintiff

Versus

Anand Ramchandran Yogi ...Defendant

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 1042 OF 2011

Kotak Mahindra Bank Limited ...Plaintiff

Versus

Deepak Gordhandas Thakarar ...Defendant

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 1051 OF 2011

M/s. Shriram City Union Finance Ltd. ...Plaintiff

Versus

Mr. Waghmare Satish Mahadev ...Defendant

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 1052 OF 2011

Moneyline Credit Ltd. ...Plaintiff

Versus

Unique Marine Technical Services & Ors. ...Defendants

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 1053 OF 2011

Moneyline Credit Ltd. ...Plaintiff

Versus

Parabjitsingh Amritpal Singh ...Defendant

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 1054 OF 2011

Moneyline Credit Ltd. ...Plaintiff

Versus

Anish Pravin Mody ...Defendant

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 1055 OF 2011

Moneyline Credit Ltd. ...Plaintiff

Versus

Nitin Darshan Mehra ...Defendant

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 1056 OF 2011

Moneyline Credit Ltd. ...Plaintiff

Versus

Dilip Mansukhlal Shah ...Defendant

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 1057 OF 2011

Moneyline Credit Ltd. ...Plaintiff

Versus

Ashok Tarachand Kedia ...Defendant

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 1058 OF 2011

Moneyline Credit Ltd. ...Plaintiff

Versus

Kishor Sambhaji Surve ...Defendant

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 1059 OF 2011

Moneyline Credit Ltd. ...Plaintiff

Versus

Jay Pankaj Shah ...Defendant

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 1060 OF 2011

M/s. Ankitam Textiles ...Plaintiff

Versus

M/s. Elite Exports & Anr. ...Defendants

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 1061 OF 2011

M/s. Religare Finvest Limited ...Plaintiff

Versus

Lionel Andrew Desouza ...Defendant

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 1063 OF 2011

HDFC Bank Ltd. ...Plaintiff

Versus

Kiren Gobind Padamdas ...Defendant

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 1064 OF 2011

HDFC Bank Ltd. ...Plaintiff

Versus

Rahul Bhan ...Defendant

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 1066 OF 2011

GE Money Housing Finance ...Plaintiff

Versus

Vivek Yashwant Vichare ...Defendant

None for the parties.

CORAM : R.I. CHAGLA J.

DATE : 14 August 2019

ORDER :

1. These Execution Applications have not been proceeded

11/12
August 14, 2019

with for a period of over 12 months from the date of filing of these applications and have been placed by the Prothonotary and Senior Master under Rule 329 of the Bombay High Court Original Side Rules before this Court for dismissal for want of prosecution.

2. As none appears for the Applicants, all these Execution Applications are dismissed with no order as to costs.

[R.I. CHAGLA J.]