

Atul

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION (L) NO. 2025 OF 2019

Ketan Popatlal Shah & Ors ...Petitioner
Versus
Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai & Ors ...Respondents

Mr RM Haridas, for the Petitioner.
Ms Vandana Mahadik, for the MCGM.

CORAM: S. C. DHARMADHIKARI &
G.S. PATEL, JJ
DATED: 8th July 2019

PC:-

1. After this writ petition was argued for some time and we were disinclined to grant any reliefs, interim or ad-interim, leave is sought to withdraw the writ petition with liberty to adopt appropriate proceedings.

2. Needless to clarify that the petitioners have approached this Court to challenge a notice under Section 354 of the Mumbai Municipal Corporations Act 1888 and the notice is not demonstrably perverse or proved to be vitiated by arbitrariness or mala fides. On this prima facie view being expressed by us, the

learned Advocate for the petitioner seeks leave to withdraw the writ petition.

3. The writ petition is disposed of as withdrawn.

(S. C. DHARMADHIKARI, J)

(G. S. PATEL, J)