

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO. 13 OF 2010

Shivashankar Jatashankar Joshi	)	Petitioner
versus		
State of Maharashtra and Ors.	)	Respondents

WITH
PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO. 58 OF 2012
WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 30 OF 2014
WITH
CHAMBER SUMMONS (L) NO. 109 OF 2013
WITH
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 351 OF 2018

Joshi Shivashankar Jatashankar	}	Petitioner
versus		
The Secretary, Law and Judiciary	}	
Department, and Ors.	}	Respondents

Mr.Shivashankar Jatashankar Joshi-petitioner
appearing in-person-absent.

Ms.Jyoti Chavan-AGP for State.

Mr.P.G.Lad with Ms.Aparna Murlidharan and
Ms.Savali Apte for MHADA.

Ms.Kejali H. Mastakar for the Municipal Corporation.

Mr.Rahul Nerlekar for respondent nos. 4 to 7 in PIL/13/2010 and for respondent nos. 3, 18 and 19 in PIL/58/2012.

Mr.Tushad Kakalia with Mr.Paresh Patkar I/b. M/s.Mulla and Mulla C. B. and C. for Adani Electricity Limited in NMW/351/2018.

**CORAM :- S. C. DHARMADHIKARI &
R. I. CHAGLA, JJ.**

DATED :- DECEMBER 13, 2019

P.C. :-

1. It is stated by the learned advocate appearing for M/s.Adani Electricity Limited that compliance has been made by the Maharashtra Housing and Area Development Authority (MHADA) with its statements made to this court and recorded in the prior order of 13th November, 2019. The site has been handed over to M/s.Adani Electricity Limited for construction of a sub-station. It is stated that for that purpose, time of nine months has been granted. The company says that it will adhere to this time schedule and not seek any extension. The statement is accepted.

2. In the light of the above, the public interest litigations are disposed of. In the light of the disposal of the public interest litigations, the notice of motion, the chamber summons and the contempt petition do not survive and stand disposed of as such.

(R.I.CHAGLA, J.)

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)