

Atul

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 621 OF 2014

Harish Ninge Gouda & Anr	...Petitioners
<i>Versus</i>	
Mumbai Municipal Corporation & Ors	...Respondents

Mr Rakesh Agrawal, for the Petitioners (*Absent*).
Mr Harish N Gouda, Petitioner No. 1 (*Absent*).
Mr Suresh N Gouda, Petitioner No. 2 (*Absent*).
Ms Vandana Mahadik, for the Respondents.

CORAM: S. C. DHARMADHIKARI &
G.S. PATEL, JJ
DATED: 8th July 2019

PC:-

1. The petitioners and their Advocate are absent. The writ petition has been listed before this Court on six previous occasions.
2. We find that the Advocate has not come forward to seek any relief in terms of the prayers of this petition even once.
3. In the light of the fact that today also with the same appearances, they are absent, we proceed to dismiss this writ petition for want of prosecution.

(G. S. PATEL, J)

(S. C. DHARMADHIKARI, J)