

therefore, state that only prayer clause (c) would, therefore, survive for consideration of this Court.

3 Prayer clause (c) seeks a direction against Defendant No.6 to provide to the Applicants – Plaintiffs the inspection of various documents and furnish copies thereof as referred to in annexure “A” to the Chamber Summons.

4 It is not in dispute that all these documents are in relation to the Directors who were appointed on the board of Defendant No.6 and who, admittedly, are no longer occupying the said position. This being the case, I do not think that any purpose would be served in directing Defendant No.6 for giving inspection of these documents, at least at this stage. This being the position, the Chamber Summons is disposed of by reserving the right of the Applicants- Plaintiffs to seek inspection of these documents if the need so arises in future.

5 As far as prayer clause (e) is concerned, it is with reference to seeking an appropriate order to video record the 11th Annual General Meeting of Defendant No.6. This video recording is already done and the same is deposited with the Prothonotary and Senior Master of this Court. As far as disclosure of this video

recording to the Plaintiff is concerned, the learned advocate appearing on behalf of the Applicants – Plaintiffs states that they are not seeking the same at this stage and would take out the appropriate proceedings in the future, if the circumstances so warrant. In these circumstances, nothing survives even as far as prayer clause (e) is concerned.

6 In these circumstances, the Chamber Summons is disposed of in the aforesaid terms. No order as to costs.

(B.P.COLABAWALLA, J.)