

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY AND ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO.1497 OF 2018
WITH
CHAMBER SUMMONS NO.620 OF 2018
WITH
NOTICE NO.244 OF 2018
IN
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO.1497 OF 2018
IN
SUIT NO.8 OF 2014**

Rajesh Trilokchand ChopraPlaintiff

Vs.

Jayesh Vinod Tanna and Ors.Defendants

Mr. Nishant Sasidharan a/w. Mr. Kalpesh Mehta, Ms. Urvi Tanna and Ms. Prachi Badani I/b. Pravin Mehta and Mithi and Company for plaintiff.

Mr. Rajesh T. Chopra, plaintiff present in person.

Mr. Rajiv Narula a/w. Ms. Ekta Pandav I/b. Jhangiani, Narual and Associates for respondent nos.1,2 and 3.

Ms. Deepa Bisht I/b. Mr. Tushar Garodia for respondent nos.6 to 8.

Ms. Heena Tanna, defendant no.2 present in person.

Mr. Vivek Tanna, defendant no.3 present in person.

Ms. Ramila P. Chopra, defendant no.6 present in person.

Mr. Santosh R. Chopra, defendant no.7 present in person.

Ms. Pushpa T. Chopra, defendant no.8 present in person.

CORAM : K.R.SHRIRAM, J.

DATE : 8th MARCH 2019

P.C.:

1 At the outset, Mr. Sasidharan, counsel for plaintiff seeks leave to withdraw the execution application as against defendant nos.4 and 5.

2 Execution application dismissed as withdrawn as against defendant nos.4 and 5.

3 Plaintiff and remaining defendants, viz., defendant nos.1,2,3,6,7 and 8 have entered into consent adjustment of the decree dated 2nd March 2019. The same is taken on record and marked "X" for identification. The counsel state that plaintiff and defendant nos.1,2,3,6,7 and 8 are present in Court and identify them.

4 Order in terms of the consent adjustment of the decree. All undertakings accepted. Decree accordingly stands satisfied. Liberty to apply. All interim applications stand disposed. All interim orders also stand vacated.

(K.R. SHRIRAM, J.)