

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 1733 OF 2013
WITH
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 326 OF 2014

Padmabhushan Vasantdada Patil	}	
Pratishthan	}	Petitioner
versus		
All India Council for Technical	}	
Education and Ors.	}	Respondents

Mr.P.J.Thorat for the petitioner (absent).

Mr.Abhijeet A. Joshi for respondent no.1.

Ms.Jyoti Chavan-AGP for respondent nos.
2 and 3.

Mr.Shaikh Mohammad Taha I/b.
M/s.Ethos Legal Alliance for the
intervener in NMW/326/2014.

CORAM :- S. C. DHARMADHIKARI &
M. S. KARNIK, JJ.

DATE :- FEBRUARY 5, 2019

P.C. :-

1. Both, the petitioner and the advocate are absent. Though the petitioner is common to Writ Petition No. 1733 of 2013 and Writ Petition No. 2532 of 2014, we find that in Writ Petition No.2532 of 2014 the very petitioner is ready to argue the matter. That very petitioner in this petition is not attending the case though having engaged an advocate. Even the advocate has not

withdrawn his vakalatnama, if there was any issue like want of instructions.

2. In these circumstances, this writ petition is dismissed for want of prosecution. Any ad-interim order made therein will stand vacated forthwith.

3. In the light of the dismissal of the writ petition, the notice of motion does not survive and stands disposed of as such.

(M.S.KARNIK, J.)

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)