

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION (L) NO.1380 OF 2018

Mahipal Baburao Yadav ... Petitioner
versus
Director/Proprietor, Premier Infotech
Pvt. Ltd. And Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. Sameer Kolge, for Petitioner.
Mr. Prabhakar M. Jadhav, for Respondent No.1.
Mr. A.L. Patki, Addl. Govt. Pleader, for Respondent Nos.2 and 3.

**CORAM: AKIL KURESHI &
S.J. KATHAWALLA, JJ.**

DATE: 28th AUGUST, 2019

P.C.:

1. The grievance of the Petitioner in the above Writ Petition is that despite repeated requests to the Respondent No.1 Developer, the Respondent No.1 refused to handover possession of permanent alternate accommodation thereby compelling the Petitioner to file representation dated 1st February, 2018 before the Respondent No.2 i.e. Deputy Collector, Competent Authority, Encroachment/Eviction. However, till date the Respondent No.2 has not considered the said representation of the Petitioner.

2. The learned Addl. Govt. Pleader for Respondent No.2 and 3 states that the decision on the representation filed before the

Collector would not serve any purpose, since it is already decided that the Petitioner is eligible to secure permanent alternate accommodation and therefore, the Petitioner should make a representation to Slum Rehabilitation Authority (SRA). The Petitioner agrees to make a representation to the SRA seeking appropriate reliefs. In the event of the Petitioner filing such representation before the SRA within two weeks from today, the SRA shall decide the same within a period of four weeks thereafter. With these directions, the Writ Petition is disposed of.

(S.J.KATHAWALLA, J.)

(AKIL KURESHI, J.)