

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
APPEAL NO.285 OF 2019
IN
ARBITRATION PETITION NO.243 OF 2015**

Dayaljibhai Devjibhai Patel and another	..Appellants
Versus	
The Malad Sangata Co-op. Hsg. Society Ltd. and another	..Respondents

Mr. Vijay M. Vaghela, Advocate for the Appellants.
Mr. Anil D'Souza, Advocate for Respondent No.2.

**CORAM : PRADEEP NANDRAJOG, C.J. &
N. M. JAMDAR, J.**

DATE : 13th JUNE, 2019

P.C.

1] Learned counsel states that incorrect fact has been noted by the learned Single Judge in paragraph 6 of the impugned order and thus, the Appellants intend to seek review of the impugned order dated 6th March 2019. The incorrect facts stated to be recorded in paragraph 6 is in the last sentence of paragraph 6 to the effect that “those flats are the subject matter of another dispute pending before another arbitral forum”. Learned counsel states that no dispute before another arbitral forum is pending.

2] Thus, review if any prayed for, would be limited to said fact.

3] The Appeal is dismissed as withdrawn. Needless to state if a review is sought of the order dated 6th March 2019, the same shall be decided as per the review jurisdiction of the learned Single Judge.

N. M. JAMDAR, J

CHIEF JUSTICE