

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.2191 OF 2016

Jaspal A. Wig & Others

.... Petitioners

Vs.

Mumbai Municipal Corporation
& Others

.... Respondents

None present for the Petitioners.

Ms Vandana Mahadik for the Respondent-MMC.

Ms Kavita Anchan i/by M.V. Kini & Co. for
Respondent No.4.

CORAM: S.C. DHARMADHIKARI &
G.S. PATEL, JJ.

DATE : JULY 09, 2019

P.C:

1. After the order of 16-4-2014 passed in this petition, much water has flown. Now, there are two Division Bench orders of this Bench taking notice of the subsequent developments in the field and particularly the orders of the previous Benches presided over by Shri Justice Anoop V. Mohta and Shri Justice A.S. Oka (as Their Lordships then were).

2. In the light of the Judgment recently pronounced by this Court, a request was made to list all the petitions challenging the notice under Section 354 of the Mumbai Municipal Corporation Act, 1888 and in which matters protection was granted. This is one more litigation of such a nature but we find that the petitioners' Advocate and the petitioners both are absent.

3. In the result, the petition is dismissed. The ad-interim/interim order stands vacated forthwith.

(G.S. PATEL, J.)

(S.C. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)