

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.50 OF 2014**

IN

NOTICE OF MOTION NO.2625 OF 1993

IN

SUIT NO.4102 OF 1993

Annie Joseph Easow)....Petitioner

V/s.

Hoslab Engineering Pvt. Ltd. & Ors.)....Respondents

Ms.Mallika Taly a/w Ms.Aditi Palnitkar I/by S.Mahomedbhai and Co.
for petitioner.

Mr.Hrushik Narvekar a/w Ms.Alya Khan and Mr.Ankit Pathak I/by
Vashi & Vashi for respondent nos.1, 2(i) & (ii).

CORAM : K.R.SHRIRAM,J

DATE : 12.6.2019

P.C.:-

1. No case for contempt is made out. Petition dismissed.
2. On the main suit, Ms.Taly for petitioner states petitioner's evidence is concluded.
3. Mr.Narvekar for respondent nos.1, 2(i) & (ii) states evidence of DW-1 is closed and evidence affidavit of DW-2 Mr.Muttukumar will be affirmed and copy served by 19.6.2019.

Mr.Narvekar states that the witness does not know English and the evidence affidavit will be in Tamil. Mr.Narvekar seeks leave to have the witness produced before the Chief Interpreter and Translator of Madras High Court, since the witness is based in Madras and get the

evidence affidavit translated. Leave granted.

4. Stand over to 26.6.2019 at 3.00 P.M. at which time respondents' 2nd witness shall remain present in court failing which respondents' evidence will be closed.

(K.R.SHRIRAM,J)