

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

**COURT RECEIVER'S REPORT NO.44 OF 2019
CHAMBER SUMMONS (L) NO.1188 OF 2014
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO.902 OF 2013**

**IN
ARBITRATION PETITION NO.7 OF 2011**

Mr.Vasant Jain)....Applicant

V/s.

Mr.Amin Noorali Rangara & Ors.)....Respondents/
Judgment Debtors

Mr.Rashid Khan a/w Vaishali Padale for applicant.
[Mr.D.R.Shetty, Court Receiver present].

**CORAM : K.R.SHRIRAM,J
DATE : 1.3.2019**

P.C.:-

1. Mr.Rashid Khan for the applicant states he has no objection if people who are in occupation i.e., M/s.Amin Noorali Rangara, Mr.Nizar Rangara, Mr.Malik Rangara and Mrs.Daulatben Rangara are appointed as agents of the Court Receiver instead of the applicant.

2. In view thereof, these 4 persons are appointed as agents of the Court Receiver and they shall, within 3 weeks from today, sign the

Agency Agreement with the Court Receiver. All amounts payable by Rangaras shall be paid to the Court Receiver.

3. In view of this order, I see no reason why Court Receiver should take physical possession back from Mr.Jain and hand over to Rangara. The possession is transferred to Rangara.

4. Accordingly Report stands disposed. Prayer clause-(c) is also granted.

(K.R.SHRIRAM,J)