

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

**NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 467 OF 2013  
IN  
APPEAL (LODG.) NO. 143 OF 2013  
IN  
COMPANY PETITION NO. 269 OF 2006**

Suruchi Chand & Ors. .. Applicants

**IN THE MATTER OF :**

Suruchi Chand & Ors. .. Appellants

Vs.

The Mahalakshmi Glass Works  
Pvt. Ltd. & Ors. .. Respondents

**WITH  
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 469 OF 2013  
IN  
APPEAL (LODG.) NO. 144 OF 2013  
IN  
COMPANY PETITION NO. 268 OF 2006**

Suruchi Chand & Ors. .. Applicants

**IN THE MATTER OF :**

Suruchi Chand & Ors. .. Appellants

Vs.

M/s. Western India Glass Works Ltd.  
& Ors. .. Respondents

**WITH  
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 470 OF 2013  
IN  
APPEAL (LODG.) NO. 145 OF 2013  
IN  
COMPANY PETITION NO. 267 OF 2006**

Suruchi Chand & Ors. .. Applicants

**IN THE MATTER OF :**

Suruchi Chand & Ors. .. Appellants

Vs.

Smriti Trading & Investment  
Co. Pvt. Ltd. & Ors. .. Respondents

Mr.Sayeed Y. Mulani a/w. Ms. Shobhana R. Waghmare I/b Mulani & Co.  
for applicants.

Ms. Niyati Merchant I/b MDP & Partners for respondents.

**CORAM : R. M. BORDE &  
N.J. JAMADAR, JJ.**

**DATE : 24<sup>TH</sup> APRIL 2019**

**P.C.**

1 In the instant notices of motion, the applicants/appellants are seeking condonation of delay occurred in presenting the appeal. It is informed that initially when Appeal bearing No.734 of 2012 in Company Petition No. 266 of 2006 was presented, certain objection has been raised by the registry. In view thereof, three separate appeals have been presented.

2 There appears to be a technical delay in presenting separate appeals, which deserves to be condoned in the facts and circumstances of the case, as stated in the affidavit in support of motion.

3 For the reasons as recorded above, the above notices of motion are allowed. The delay occurred in presenting all the three appeals stand condoned.

4 The Notices of Motion are accordingly disposed of.

**[ N.J. JAMADAR, J. ]**

**[ R. M. BORDE, J.]**