

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 84 OF 2019

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 6717 OF 2009

Rajni Tahil Bhambhawani

...Applicant

Versus

Harish Kumar Narang

...Respondent

Ajay Panicker a/w Amit Kakri I/b Ajay Law Associates –
Advocate for the applicant.

V. Y. Sanlikar – Advocate for the Respondent No. 1

CORAM : DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU, J.

DATE : 28th JUNE 2019.

P.C. :

Heard. Allowed. The applicant is permitted to withdraw the amount the respondent tenant has so far deposited in this Court. The respondent's counsel has, however, submitted that the respondent has deposited Rs. 94,500/- in excess. If that be so, the applicant's withdrawal would be subject to the outcome of the writ

petition. Both the parties may get ready to argue the matter on merits in two weeks.

2. By any reason if Court could not hear the matter within the stipulated time, the applicant will continue to withdraw the amounts once the respondent deposits them.

[DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU, J.]