

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**Interim Application No.1 of 2019
IN
Civil Application No.254 of 2019
IN
Civil Revision Application No.826 of 2014**

M/s. Radium Garments Pvt. Ltd. ... Applicant

v/s.

M/s. FAB Fashion Property Firm of
Smt. Smita Modi ... Respondents

Mr. Dheeraj Patil i/b. Mr.Drupad S. Patil for the applicant.

CORAM : DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU, J.

17th October 2019.

P.C.

The applicants submits that when the bailiff tried to serve the notice on the respondents, he could not succeed. Instead, he returned the notices with the remark that “the proprietor of M/s. Fab Fashion was not found at the address and on an enquiry, it was informed that she has not gone to meet her sister and there is no likelihood of she returning back in short time.”

2. In view of the difficulty the petitioner has faced in serving the notice on the respondents, now it has taken out this interim application

for substitute service.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, it is allowed in terms of prayer clauses (b) and (c).

(DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU, J)

L.S. Panjwani, P.S.