

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6 OF 2019
IN
FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO.9 OF 2019

Mr. Bharatkumar Kanji Palicha.] ... Applicant /
Applicant

Versus

Hemlata Bharatkumar Palicha nee]
Hemlata Vallabhdas Lilani.] ... Respondent

Mrs. Prabha Badadare for Applicant / Appellant.
Mr. Nilen Bharatkumar Palicha, Attorney Holder of his mother
Hemlata Bharatkumar Palicha, present.

CORAM :- INDRAJIT MAHANTY &
SARANG V. KOTWAL, JJ.
DATE :- 26 FEBRUARY, 2019

P. C. :-

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the Applicant / Appellant as
well as Respondent's son Mr. Nilen Bharatkumar Palicha.

2. It appears that the Respondent had been granted interim
maintenance of Rs.30,000/- per month under Section 125 of the

Cr.P.C. during pendency of the proceedings and by way of permanent alimony, the amount was enhanced to Rs.40,000/- per month.

3. Considering the situation that exists, we are not inclined to grant the prayer made in the present Civil Application. The same stands rejected.

4. The Appellant shall continue discharging his obligation under the said directions till the disposal of the Appeal.

5. Civil Application stands disposed of accordingly.

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)

(INDRAJIT MAHANTY, J.)