

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.374 OF 2018
IN
FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO.119 OF 2018**

Mr.Kirti Maheshwari Petitioner

versus

Mrs.Anjali Kirti Maheshwari ... Respondent

.....

- Mr.Suresh Chandrashekhar a/w Ms.Li Shu Fen and Mr.Siddharth chadrashekhar, Advocate for Applicant/Petitioner.
- Mr.Anil Kumar Mane, Advocate for Respondent.

**CORAM : INDRAJIT MAHANTY &
SARANG V. KOTWAL, JJ.
DATE : 25th JANUARY, 2019.**

P.C. :

1. Heard learned Counsel for the parties.
2. On perusal of the order we find that this matter has been admitted by order dated 23/07/2018 and in the meantime it is stated by learned Counsel for the Appellant i.e. Respondent (wife) has filed execution application to execute the decree

passed by the Family Court, for restitution of conjugal rights. He further submitted that the compilation is complied with the other directions issued by the Family Court insofar as maintenance is concerned.

3. In view of such statement and after hearing learned Counsel for the Respondent, we hereby direct as an interim measure that decree for restitution of conjugal rights shall not be executed till disposal of the present Appeal.
4. We make it clear that the Appellant shall be bound with the directive measures issued by the Family Court, insofar as custody as well as maintenance is concerned.
5. Civil Application stands disposed of as such.

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)

(INDRAJIT MAHANTY, J.)