

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
ANTICIPTORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 2387 OF 2018**

|                           |               |
|---------------------------|---------------|
| Sarvar Amin Shaikh & Ors. | ...Applicants |
| Versus                    |               |
| The State of Maharashtra  | ...Respondent |

**WITH  
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 797 OF 2019  
(FOR INTERVENTION)  
IN  
ANTICIPTORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 2387 OF 2018**

|                |                         |
|----------------|-------------------------|
| Shabana Shaikh | ...Applicant/Intervener |
|----------------|-------------------------|

**IN THE MATTER BETWEEN :**

|                                 |                |
|---------------------------------|----------------|
| Sarvar Amin Shaikh & Ors.       | ...Applicants  |
| Versus                          |                |
| The State of Maharashtra & Ors. | ...Respondents |

Mr. Kuldeep S. Patil for the Applicant

Mr. S. V. Gavand, A.P.P for the Respondent-State

Mr. Hasanuddin S. Ansari for the Applicant/Intervener

**CORAM : REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.**  
**MONDAY, 10<sup>th</sup> JUNE 2019**

**P.C.**

1                      Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2 By this application, the applicant seeks pre-arrest bail in connection with C.R. No. I-544 of 2018 registered with the Malvani Police Station, Mumbai, for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 498A, 406 r/w 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3 Learned counsel for the applicant and the learned counsel for the intervener, on the instructions of the parties who are present in Court, state that the parties have amicably settled their dispute. Learned counsel for the intervener tenders affidavit of the intervener, who is present in Court. The said affidavit is taken on record and marked 'X' for identification. The intervener in the said affidavit has stated that she has settled the dispute with the applicant and that she has received an amount of Rupees Two Lakhs by way of full and final settlement. In view of the settlement, the intervener has given her no objection for allowing the aforesaid application and even for quashing of the aforesaid case.

4 Considering the aforesaid, the application is allowed and the applicant is granted pre-arrest bail on the following terms and conditions :

**ORDER**

(i) In the event of the arrest, the applicant be enlarged on bail on executing P.R. Bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/- with one or two sureties in the like amount.

5           The application is allowed in the aforesaid terms and is accordingly disposed of.

6           It is made clear that the observations made herein are *prima facie*, for the purpose of deciding this application.

7           In view of the aforesaid, the intervention application does not survive and the same is also disposed of.

8           All concerned to act on the authenticated copy of this order.

**REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.**