

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION***

***REVIEW PETITION (ST) NO. 33992 OF 2017*
***IN*
WRIT PETITION NO. 10562 OF 2017****

1 Shri Nagesh Bapu Bagal & Ors. ... Petitioners

Vs

1 The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. R.V. Bansode for the Petitioners.

Mr. V.M. Mali, AGP, for the Respondent-State.

***CORAM : S.C. DHARMADHIKARI &
N.M. JAMDAR , JJ.***

THURSDAY, 25TH JULY, 2019

P.C. :

1 Heard Mr. Bansode appearing for the petitioners. On a perusal of the Memo of the Review Petition, it is evident that it projects grounds of appeal, rather than seeking a review of the order of the Division Bench. We do not think that we should entertain a Review Petition when such is the emphasis in the Memo. Review jurisdiction is not akin or similar to that of an Appeal or Revision. Once there is a grievance raised that the

order is erroneous on merits, then, the remedy is to challenge it in a higher court in Appeal.

2 The Review Petition is, therefore, not the remedy of the Review Petitioner. It is entirely misconceived and dismissed.

N.M. JAMDAR, J.

S.C. DHARMADHIKARI, J.