

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 641 OF 2016***

Kavita Nandkumar Singhan @

Kavita Shivaji Ghodke

... Petitioner

Vs

The State of Maharashtra

Through Secretary & Ors.

... Respondent

Mr. Sachin S. Punde, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. A.P. Vanarase, AGP for Respondent No. 1.

Mr. Arun Kumar Roy, Advocate for Respondent No. 2.

CORAM : B.P.DHARMADHIKARI &

SANDEEP K. SHINDE, JJ

DATE : AUGUST 28th, 2019

P.C.:

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that due to subsequent events challenge in present petition was rendered infructuous. Counsel for the respondent no. 2 has attempted to demonstrate that present petition itself is misconceived. Learned AGP is also opposing the present petition. As petitioner is already filed, keeping all the contentions of the respondents open and without prejudice to their rights in the matter, we dispose of the present petition, as infructuous at the risk of cost of petitioner.

(SANDEEP K. SHINDE, J.)

(B.P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)