

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 774 OF 2015
IN
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 82 OF 2019

The State of Maharashtra. ... Applicant.
V/s.
Shailendra Prabhakar Dixit and others. ... Respondents.

Mr.Ajay Patil, APP for the applicant- State.
Mr.Sachin Kanse i/b. PRS Legal for respondent No.1.
Mr.Suhas B. Rohile for respondent Nos.2 and 3.
Mr.Yashowardhan Deshmukh for respondent No.4.

CORAM : A.S.OKA AND A.S.GADKARI, JJ.

DATE : 6th March 2019.

P.C. :

Heard the learned APP and the learned counsel appearing for the respondent No.1, the learned counsel appearing for the respondent Nos.2 and 3 and the learned counsel appearing for the respondent No.4. The learned counsel for the respondents have opposed the application for condonation of delay.

2. We have perused the averments made in the application. Delay of only 20 days is more than adequately explained in paragraphs-2 and 3 of the application. Accordingly, sufficient cause is made out to condone delay. Rule is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (b).

(A.S.GADKARI, J.)

(A.S.OKA, J.)