

ISM

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION****CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 1523 OF 2019**

Nitin Avinash Netake

.....Applicant

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra and another

.....Respondents

Mr. Harshad Nimbalkar a/w Mr. Satyam H. Nimbalkar for the applicant

Mr. Gaurav Parkar i/b Mr. Sukhdeo Pandhare for respondent no. 2
Smt. S. D. Shinde APP for the State

**CORAM : B. P. DHARMADHIKARI &
NITIN W. SAMBRE, JJ.**

DATE : NOVEMBER 25, 2019.

P.C.

Applicant is present with his advocate. Respondent no. 2 is present with her Advocate. They are jointly requesting for quashing of F.I.R. which is under Sections 376 (2) (E) of the Indian Penal Code.

2 Respondent no. 2 has also given separate affidavit and reiterated her no objection. Consent terms reached between parties

are also placed on record separately.

3 Learned counsel appearing for applicant submits that in order to buy peace and to avoid any further consequences, he has agreed to consent terms.

4 We have perused order passed by this Court granting regular bail to applicant.

5 As the applicant has decided not to proceed further in the matter, we keep on record a warning to respondent no. 2 that if such conduct is noted elsewhere, serious cognizance thereof will be taken.

6 Subject to this warning, we make Rule absolute in terms of prayer clause (a) since no fruitful purpose would be achieved by keeping prosecution pending.

[NITIN W. SAMBRE, J.]

[B. P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.]