

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.1788 OF 2017

Majid Siddique

... Applicant

Vs.

State of Maharashtra & Anr.

... Respondents

.....

Mr.Ashish P. Raghuwanshi, Advocate for the Applicant.

Mr.S.R. Agarkar, APP for Respondent - State.

Mr.Hemant V. Kenjalekar, Advocate for Respondent no.2.

Mr.Sampatrao Raut, API, MIDC Police Station, Mumbai, present.

.....

CORAM : PRAKASH D. NAIK, J.

DATE : JANUARY 31, 2019.

P.C.

Learned APP, on instructions from the officer who is present in the Court submits that during the pendency of interim protection granted to the applicant, the applicant has been arrested by executing the interim order, and, thereafter, charge-sheet is also filed against the applicant. Learned counsel for the intervener, however, submits that he is not aware as to what investigation is conducted by the police. He further submitted that it is not clear whether the amount is seized by the police. Complainant will be at liberty to initiate appropriate proceedings, if he has any grievance about the investigation conducted by the police. Considering the fact that charge-sheet is filed and the applicant has been granted bail, anticipatory bail application stands disposed of.

(PRAKASH D. NAIK, J.)