

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1315 OF 2019

Kailash Anraj Purohit

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra and Anr.

...Respondents

Mr. Kartik S. Garg for the Applicant.

Mr. S.S. Pednekar, APP for the Respondent/State.

Mr. S.V. Marwadi for the Respondent No.2.

**CORAM : B. P. DHARMADHIKARI &
SMT. SADHANA S. JADHAV, JJ.**

DATE : 2nd DECEMBER 2019.

PC.:

1 Applicant is present with his Advocate. Respondent No.2 is present with her Advocate. APP appears for the State.

2 Joint prayer by the applicant and Respondent No.2 is to quash the FIR which is under sections 376, 354A, 354D, 504 and 506 of IPC.

3 The statement recorded in support of FIR shows that the relationship exists since January 2014.

4 It appears that Respondent No.2 also got married in the meanwhile.

5 In this situation, in view of joint request, though learned APP is opposing, as we find it in the interest of Respondent No.2, we make Rule absolute in terms of prayer clause (b).

6 We make it clear that parties shall not make any efforts to contact or influence each other in future.

(SMT. SADHANA S. JADHAV, J.)

(B. P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)