

Urmila Ingale

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION****WRIT PETITION NO. 10460 OF 2019****Balabai Pandurang Chavan Vs. Krushnat Bapu Parit and ors.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Court's or Judge's orders
---	---------------------------

Mr.Kuldeep U. Nikam, Advocate for the Petitioner.**CORAM : M.S.KARNIK, J.****DATE : 27th SEPTEMBER, 2019****PC.**

. Not on board. Taken on Board.

2. Learned Counsel for the petitioner urge that the only reason why no confidence motion was moved against the petitioner who is a Sarpanch is that she was taking action against the alleged wrong doing which was not liked by the other members. According to him this was the reason for pressing no confidence motion against the petitioner.

3. Considering that almost 9 out of 11 members have passed the no confidence motion against the petitioner, this is not a fit case to interfere with the impugned order. Petition is rejected.

(M.S.KARNIK, J.)

Urmila P. Ingale
Digitally signed by Urmila P. Ingale
Date: 2019.10.01 17:18:54 +0530