

vks

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO.190 OF 2017

Roshan Electrical Co.

Pvt. Ltd and ors

... Applicants

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra and anr

... Respondents

Mr. Saili N. Dhuru i/by Kuldeep Patil, for the Applicants

Mr. N.B. Patil, APP for respondent State.

Ms. Ameeta Kuttikrishnan, for respondent No.2.

CORAM : N. J. JAMADAR, J.

DATE : 4th December, 2019.

PC. :

1] Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

2] The learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that the charge is already framed and the trial has commenced. The cross examination of P.W.1 Mr. Thengali Seetharaman Jayanth, has been completed on 16th February, 2018.

3] A photostat copy of the Roznama dated 16.02.2018 is tendered across the bar.

4] In the backdrop of aforesaid development, the instant Revision Application which assails the order, passed by the learned Sessions Judge, of rejection of application for discharge under Section 227 of the Code of

Criminal Procedure, 1973, has been rendered infructuous.

5] Hence the Revision Application stands dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

[N. J. JAMADAR, J.]