

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION STAMP NO.24487 OF 2019

M/s. Yamuna Realty Pvt. Ltd. & Anr.

..Petitioners

Versus

India Infoline Housing Finance Ltd. & Ors.

..Respondents

Mr. Harshad Sathe, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Mr. Shashank N. Fadia, Advocate for Respondent No.1.

Mr. Y. S. Khochare, AGP for Respondent – State.

CORAM : PRADEEP NANDRAJOG, C.J. &

SMT. BHARATI DANGRE, J.

DATE : 6th DECEMBER, 2019

P.C.

1] The Writ Petitioners were constrained to approach this Court on account of the fact that on 16.08.2019 learned DRT-I, Mumbai rejected application filed by the Petitioners. The Petitioners could not file an Appeal before DRAT on account of the certified copy of the order dated 16.08.2019 not being made available and the Circle Officer appointed vide order dated 31.01.2019 under Section 14 gave a notice that he would execute the said order on 19.08.2019.

2] The Petitioners have since been supplied a certified copy of the order dated 16.08.2019.

3] In that view of the matter, we dispose of the instant Writ

(6) -WPL -24487-19.doc.

Petition extending the protective umbrella granted to the Petitioners vide order dated 29.08.2019 by two weeks from today. The Petitioners would avail statutory remedy in law.

**Balaji G.
Panchal**

Digitally signed by
Balaji G. Panchal
Date: 2019.12.06
17:10:14 +0530

SMT. BHARATI DANGRE, J

CHIEF JUSTICE