

Sequeira

*IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION*

*CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 188 OF 2017
IN
REJECTED CASE NO. 2295 OF 2016
Along with
REVIEW PETITION (Stamp) No. 26073 OF 2016*

Sitaram Gopal Gujar
(Since deceased through his legal heir)
Mr.Sadanand Sitaram Gujar

... Applicant /
Petitioner

V/s.

Mr.Balaram Gopal Gujar
(Since deceased through his legal heirs)
1a. Mr.Raghunath Balaram Gujar
and others.

... Respondents.

Mr.Onkar Warange, for the Applicant.
None for Respondents.

*CORAM : N.M. Jamdar, J.
Date : 25 July, 2019.*

P.C. :-

Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner. Though the Civil Application is for condonation of delay of 23 days I have

considered the merits of the Review Petition itself to find out whether any purpose will be served in condoning the delay and issuing notice.

2. The order under review was passed rejecting an application for condonation of delay of 33 years and 359 days. Considering the facts of the case and going through the reasons made out for the condonation of delay, the Court found that no sufficient reason was given for condoning delay of 34 years. There is no error in the view taken so as to fall within the parameters of review jurisdiction.

3. The Civil Application and Review Petition are accordingly disposed of.

(N.M. Jamdar, J.)