

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY****CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION****WRIT PETITION NO. 10618 OF 2016**

Ratna N. Karkera

.....Petitioner.

Vs.

Jankalyan Sahakari Bank Ltd. &amp; Ors.

.....Respondents.

Mr. Rakesh Kumar I/by Namdev Gore for the Petitioner.

Mr. Anand Kulkarni a/w Nilima Sarvagod I/by Onkar Warange for the Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

Smt. J.S. Lohokare AGP, for the Respondent-State.

**CORAM : A. S. GADKARI, J.****DATE : 7<sup>th</sup> AUGUST, 2019.****P.C.:-**

The Order impugned herein is passed under Section 101 of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960. The Petitioner is having substantial alternate remedy under Section 154 of the said Act by way of Revision to challenge the impugned Order and without availing the said remedy, the Petitioner has approached this Court.

2           The Supreme Court in the case of *Shalini Shyam Shetty & Anr. Vs. Rajendra Shankar Patil*, reported in (2010) 8 SCC 329, while analysing the principles in the exercise of High Court's jurisdiction under Article 227 of the Constitution of India has held that, in cases where an alternative statutory mode of redressal has been provided, it

would also operate as a restraint on the exercise of the power under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, by the High Court.

3           In view thereof, Petition is dismissed in *limine*.

**(A.S. GADKARI, J.)**