

nsc.

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION***

WRIT PETITION NO.3527 OF 2018

Pankaj Harish Taneja and Ors.	...Petitioners
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra and Ors.	...Respondents

Mr.V.M.Deshmukh, for the Petitioners.

Mr.Raviraj Gamare, for the Respondent No.2.

Ms.S.D.Shinde, A.P.P. for the Respondent No.1 – State.

***CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKARI &
REVATI MOHITE DERE, JJ.***

DATE : 8th JANUARY, 2019

P.C. :

1. The Petitioner No.1 – Husband is present with his Advocate.
Respondent No.2 – Complainant is present with her Advocate. Learned
A.P.P. appears for Respondent No.1.

2. Respondent No.2 has tendered an affidavit, pointing out that
matrimonial controversy is amicably settled and compromise is also

recorded in D.V.Case No.251 of 2016, which has been withdrawn on 13th June, 2018.

3. Accordingly, parties have also filed proceedings under Section 13B of the Hindu Marriage Act, vide Case No.F 1407 of 2018 at Family Court, Bandra.

4. In this situation, accepting the joint statement, we make Rule absolute in terms of prayer clause (i).

REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.

B.P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.