

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 274 OF 2019

Mamta Lalchand Lalwani

...Applicant

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra

....Respondent

\*\*\*\*

Mr. Raju M. Yamgar a/w. Ms. Meenal S. Watsay,  
Advocate for the applicant.

Mrs. Sharmila Kaushik, APP for the State.

**CORAM : SANDEEP K. SHINDE, J.**

**Wednesday, 20<sup>th</sup> November, 2019.**

**P.C. :**

1. Heard.

2. The learned Additional Sessions Judge, Thane declined to relax Condition No.(2) imposed vide order dated 29<sup>th</sup> May, 2019 in Criminal Anticipatory Bail Application No. 1353 of 2019 and hence the present Application.

3. The applicant is 67 years old housewife. It appears, she has reported to the Police Station concerned as directed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Thane.

4. In view of the fact that she has attended the Police Station and taking into consideration her age, the Condition no.(2) imposed vide order dated 29<sup>th</sup> May, 2019, stands relaxed.

5. The Application stands disposed off.

(SANDEEP K. SHINDE, J.)