

Anand

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 277 OF 2018
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 2964 OF 2018
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 2964 OF 2018**

Harish Virumal Milani .Applicant

IN THE MATTER BETWEEN

Kevin Anthony Pinto & anr. .Petitioners

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra .Respondent

Mr. Abhijit Kulkarni a/w Mr. S. Kursija i/b. Mr. A. J. Khan,
Advocate, for the Original Petitioners

Mr. K. V. Saste, APP, for the Respondent – State

Mr. Nilesh Ojha a/w Mr. V. Kurle, Ms M. Jain, Mr. Ashok,
Mr. K. Parmar & Mr. Shivndu, Advocate, for the Intervenor

**CORAM : B. P. DHARMADHIKARI &
REVATI MOHITE DERE, JJ.**

DATE : 22.02.2019

P.C.

. Counsel for Petitioners submits that forged documents are used against Applicant and therefore, he is victim, though he is not Complainant / Informant. Prayer in Application was to permit him to intervene in matter and also other prayers include

action under Section 340 of Cr. P. C.. It is submitted that in an Application moved for cancellation of bail before the Sessions Court, pendency of present Writ Petition is being used as a tool to seek further adjournment.

2. Counsel for Petitioners is opposing intervention and other prayers. He also points out that a copy of Application is received by him only yesterday in Court.

3. We are not inclined to go into other prayers at this stage. It appears that the Applicant has attempted to obtain order of cancellation of bail and in those proceedings, present Writ Petition is relied upon by Applicant.

4. We, therefore, allow his prayer for intervention.

5. The Applicant be shown as Respondent / Informant by carrying out necessary amendment within one week.

6. We grant added informant leave to move appropriate

Application with necessary prayers. That Application shall be examined and decided on its own merits. All contentions of parties in relation thereto are kept open.

(REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.) (B. P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)