

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION No. 5616 OF 2018

Harmeet Singh ...Petitioner
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ...Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 2888 OF 2018**

Prashanto Pradeepkumar Chatterjee ...Petitioner
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ...Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 3257 OF 2018**

Mohan Vinayak Phadnis & Ors. ...Petitioners
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ...Respondents

.....
Mr.Tapan Thatte for the Petitioners in all the Petitions.
Mr. A.R.Patil, APP for Respondent No.1-State.
Mr.Ramiz Shaikh for Respondent No.2.

.....

**CORAM : S.S.SHINDE J.
DATE : 03 JULY 2019**

P.C.:

1. Heard learned Counsel appearing for respective parties.

2. For the reasons to be recorded separately, Writ Petitions stand rejected.

3. At this stage, learned Counsel appearing for the petitioners prays for continuation of ad-interim relief, which was in force during the pendency of these Petitions, for further four weeks from today. However, the said prayer is vehemently opposed by the learned Counsel appearing for respondent No.2. Nevertheless, in the interest of justice, ad-interim relief granted earlier to continue for a period of four weeks from the date of uploading the final judgment.

(S.S.SHINDE, J.)