

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

Sagar Devilal Joshi & Ors.	]	..	Petitioners
vs.			
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	]	..	Respondents

Smt.S.D. Shinde, APP for the State.

DATE : 10TH DECEMBER 2019.

1] Heard for some time.

3] The FIR shows that there were demands made few months before marriage and even after marriage. Petitioner No.1 and Respondent No.3 have stayed together for few days only.

4] Learned counsel for Respondent No.3 submits that in the light of fact that parents of Respondent No.3 will not be in a position to pay any dowry, engagement was performed at Rajasthan when only Respondent No.3 and her mother were present.

5] We find some disputed questions arising for consideration. Petitioners have attempted to invite our attention to statement in Domestic Violence proceedings made by respondent No.3 that Respondent no.3 was taken to a religious place where insane persons are traditionally treated. She has stated there that she happened to meet petitioners at that place only.

6] As we find that some disputed questions arises and prima-facie it cannot be said that no offence is made out, we are not inclined to intervene in extraordinary jurisdiction. We dispose of the Writ Petition with no costs.

[N.R.BORKAR, J.]

[B.P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.]