

nsc.

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION***

***CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO.1794 OF 2018
(THROUGH POST)***

Jagat Bhagya Bishwakarma	...Applicant
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra	...Respondent

Ms.Pravada Raut, *Amicus Curiae*, for the Applicant.

Ms.P.P.Shinde, A.P.P for the Respondent - State.

PSI – Minnath Khanvilkar, Malad Police Station, Mumbai.

CORAM : REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.

DATE : 4th JULY, 2019

P.C. :

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
2. This is the second bail application preferred by the applicant.
3. By this second bail application, the applicant seeks his enlargement on bail in connection with C.R.No.562 of 2015 registered with the Malad Police Station, Mumbai, for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 376(D) and 506(2) of the Indian Penal Code.

4. Perused the papers. The first bail application of the applicant was withdrawn by the learned counsel for the applicant, as the Court was not inclined to grant any relief, with liberty to file a fresh application for bail, if the trial did not commence.

5. Learned APP states on instructions, that the trial has commenced and till date 7 witnesses have been examined and that the prosecution intends to examine 3 more witnesses.

6. Since the trial has already commenced and 7 witnesses have been examined, it is not necessary to consider this application for bail. However, since only 3 more witnesses are to be examined, the trial of the applicant is expedited. The learned Judge to conclude the trial as expeditiously as possible and preferably within 3 months from the date of receipt of this order.

7. The Application is accordingly disposed of on the aforesaid terms.

REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.