

Vidya Amin.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 464 OF 2016

M/s. Matangi Property Pvt. Ltd. & Ors. ... Applicants

VS.

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ... Respondents

Mr. Manoj S. Mohite a/w. Siddhi Bhosale, Chaitenya Pendse,
Advocate for the applicants.

Mrs. Veera Shinde, APP for respondent no. 1/State.

Mr. Filji Frederick a/w. Archit Chaturvedi, Risha Sharma i/b. FF and
Associates, Advocate for respondent no. 2.

CORAM: Mrs.MRIDULA BHATKAR, J.

DATED: 13th March, 2019

P.C. :

The learned counsel for the applicants submitted that the applicants were facing charges under sections 448, 450, 451, 420, 423, 424 r/w. 120B of Indian Penal Code. He submitted that the applicants and respondent no. 2 both have settled the matter amicably and now wants to file consent terms. The learned counsel produced the consent terms signed by the representative of respondent no. 2/original complainant and by the applicants nos. 1 to 5 along with their respective counsel. The consent terms dated 13th March, 2019 is taken on record and marked as Exhibit-1. The statements made in the consent terms are accepted.

2. The learned counsel for respondent no. 2/original complainant submitted that the respondent no. 2 does not want to proceed with the matter.

3. In view of these statements and submissions, Criminal Revision Application is allowed in terms of prayer clauses (a), (b) and (c).

(MRIDULA BHATKAR, J.)