

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1179 OF 2019

IN

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.741 OF 2013

SUNIL MADHUSUDAN GOLKONDA)...APPELLANT

V/s.

**RAKESH ALIAS BALU SHANTILAL TATED)
AND OTHERS)...RESPONDENTS**

WITH

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.853 OF 2013

RAKESH @ BALU SHANTILAL TATED)...APPELLANT

V/s.

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA)...RESPONDENT

WITH

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.8 OF 2014

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA)...APPELLANT

V/s.

**RAKESH ALIAS BALU SHANTILAL TATED)
AND OTHERS)...RESPONDENTS**

WITH

**CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1317 OF 2014
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.741 OF 2013**

SUNIL MADHUSUDAN GOLKONDA)...APPELLANT

V/s.

**RAKESH ALIAS BALU SHANTILAL TATED)
AND OTHERS)...RESPONDENTS**

WITH

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.889 OF 2015

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA)...APPELLANT

V/s.

**RAKESH ALIAS BALU SHANTILAL TATED)
AND OTHERS)...RESPONDENTS**

Mr.Ajay Bhise, Advocate for the Appellant.

Mrs.M.M.Deshmukh, APP for the Respondent – State.

Mr.V.V.Purwant, Advocate in Criminal Appeal No.853 of 2013.

**CORAM : INDRAJIT MAHANTY &
A. M. BADAR, JJ.**

DATE : 8th AUGUST 2019

P.C. :

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1179 OF 2019

1 The learned counsel for the applicant, who happens to be the First Informant, contended that as the respondent no.1 herein had committed breach of bond executed by him, as directed by the learned trial court while convicting the respondent no.1, he wants to prefer an appropriate application before the learned trial court. He, therefore, seeks permission to withdraw the instant application with liberty as prayed.

2 The application is accordingly disposed off as withdrawn with liberty as prayed.

4 Place the connected appeals as per its turn.

(A. M. BADAR, J.)

(INDRAJIT MAHANTY, J.)