

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.9842 OF 2014

Smt.Surekha Vinayak Kurkute ... Petitioner

Vs

Prajwalit Dnyandeep Shikshan Trust,
through Secretary and Ors. ... Respondents

Mr.Sachin S. Punde for the Petitioner.
Ms.S.D.Vyas, 'B' Panel Counsel for State-Respondent No.5.
Mr.N.V. Bandiwadekar for Respondent Nos.1 and 2.

**CORAM : S.C. DHARMADHIKARI &
M.S.KARNIK, JJ.**

MONDAY, 7TH JANUARY, 2019

P.C. :

1 During the pendency of the writ petition and on account of subsequent developments, presently there is no cause of action that the petitioner can maintain. In the event the petitioner succeeds in challenging the order of termination issued by the Management, then, depending upon the success and the nature of the relief granted in favour of the petitioner, if any, the petitioner can seek intervention of the authorities and if they refuse to assist the petitioner, he can maintain a fresh petition. All contentions in relation to that course which the petitioner proposes to adopt are kept open.

2 The writ petition accordingly stands disposed of. The disposal of this writ petition shall not preclude the petitioner from raising all pleas and grounds including those raised in the present petition.

[M.S. KARNIK, J.]

[S.C. DHARMADHIKARI, J.]