

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRI. W.P. NO. 3401 OF 2019

Vilas Vansant Deokar ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

Mr. Sujeet Bugade for the petitioner.

Smt. A.S. Pai, APP for the State.

**CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKARI &
SMT. S.S. JADHAV, JJ.**
DATE : NOVEMBER 05, 2019

P.C.:

Heard for some time.

2. The effort of the petitioner father is to demonstrate that his son has not committed suicide. The doubt is expressed only on account of the fact that the amount of Rs. 10 lac with the son which was transferred to some other person has not been traced out.

3. Learned counsel states that the son of the petitioner had agreed to purchase a flat for Rs. 19 lacs and paid Rs. 10 lacs. The person to whom that amount was paid, thereafter has backed out and his four friends who acted as intermediaries also

stopped responding.

4. We have tried to find out the reason for this apprehension. There is no material to show that the son had amount of Rs. 10 lacs and that has been transferred to somebody else.

5. In this situation, we find no case made out at least at this stage warranting intervention. Petition is therefore, rejected.

(SMT. S.S. JADHAV, J.)

(B.P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)