

1/3

3] The petitioner claims that his statement was recorded on that day only in support of FIR. He therefore made a representation on 17th December, 2014 to the respondents inquiring about the fate of that FIR. No reply has been given and no report has been submitted to the Court of Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate.

4] Learned APP has submitted that the matter is placed before this Court for the first time and as such she cannot assist the Court.

5] The matter is pending before this Court since 22nd July, 2016. Thus, period of three years has expired after filing of the petition and period of more than 11 years and 3 months has expired from the date of alleged incident.

6] We are, therefore, not inclined to keep the matter pending.

7] Without prejudice to the rights and contentions available to the respondents in the matter, we direct Respondent No.2 to submit appropriate report to the Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, 5th Court, Dadar, Mumbai which has passed the order under Section 156(3) of the Criminal Procedure Code within four weeks from the date of communication of this order to him. If such report is already submitted, the petitioner shall be informed

accordingly. We direct the petitioner to contact office of Respondent No.2 for the said purpose and with this order within one week from today.

8] With these directions and keeping all contentions open, we dispose of this petition.

(S.S. JADHAV, J.)

(B. P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)