

slbw

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO.8009 OF 2015

Kum. Vrushali V. Bhilare & Ors.

.. Petitioners

Vs.

Prathamik Shikshak Sahakari Bank
Limited, Satara & Ors.

.. Respondents

Mr. Laxman K. Kalel I/b. B.A. Lawate for the petitioners.

Mr. Dilip Bodake for the respondent nos.1 & 2.

Mr. Mandar Limaye for respondent no.3.

CORAM : A.K. MENON, J.

DATED : 14TH FEBRUARY, 2019.

P.C. :

1. Called for filing consent terms.
2. The challenge in this writ petition is to an order passed on 26th September, 2014 by the Industrial Court, Satara, in complaints (ULP)no.40 to 61 of 2013 both inclusive. There are in all a total of 26 petitioners. It is stated across the bar by the learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioner nos.2, 4 and 20 are not present today. However, their presence may be dispensed with since the respondents have agreed that the impugned orders may be quashed and set aside as against the said three petitioners.
3. The learned counsel for the parties have tendered consent terms signed

by the remaining petitioners i.e. petitioner nos.1, 3, 5 to 19 and 21 to 26. In view of the large number of petitioners, the learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners in addition to executing the consent terms have also affirmed an affidavit in the District Court, Satara, confirming the contents of the consent terms.

4. Save and except, petitioner nos.1, 3 and 25 all other petitioners are present in Court. They have affirmed and signed the affidavits and the consent terms. Respondent nos.1 and 3 are also present. In view thereof, at the request of the learned counsel for the parties, there will be an order in terms of consent terms. The consent terms and affidavits are taken on file and marked "X" for identification.
5. In view of the fact that respondent nos.2, 4 and 20 are not before this Court but since clause 6 of the consent terms provides for the impugned orders being quashed and set aside as against them, the petition is allowed to the extent that the impugned orders are quashed and set aside as against the said respondents.
6. Petition disposed in the above terms.

(A.K.MENON,J.)