

VPH

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION No. 3240 OF 2019

M/s. Raj Homes
S. V. Developers & Ors. ... Petitioners
Vs.
Senior Inspector of Police,
Navi Mumbai & Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. Sachin Khandagale i/b Padma Divakar, for the
Petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Thakre, PP a/w Mrs. S. D. Shinde, APP for
Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

Mr. Arun A. Joshi, for Respondent No. 3.

**CORAM : B. P. DHARMADHIKARI, &
NITIN W. SAMBRE, JJ.**

DATE : NOVEMBER 25, 2019

PC :

1. Heard. Rule. Rule made returnable forthwith.
By consent of parties, petition is taken up for final hearing.

2. Petitioner No. 7 Girish Shah is present alongwith
his advocate on behalf of all petitioners. Respondent No.
3 – complainant is also present with her advocate. The
Petitioners and Respondent No. 3 are jointly requesting for
quashing and setting aside the FIR dated 19.8.2013,
which is registered under S. 420, 415, 405, 406, 465, 468,

471, 474, 475, 476, 504, 506, 506(II), 503 read with 34 of the Indian Penal Code. Respondent No. 3 has given her affidavit giving no-objection, pointing out that her grievance is fully satisfied.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor in order to assist the Court has submitted that there are similar eight FIRs pending against the Petitioners. We find that all those FIRs are in relation to the very same building, and the different occupants / purchasers have filed those reports to police.

4. In this situation, we accept the joint request of the parties and make rule absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

Sd/-

[NITIN W. SAMBRE, J.]

Sd/-

[B. P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.]

Vinayak Halemath