

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 722 OF 2019

Dr. Lalitkumar Diliprao Nagare and Ors. ... Applicants

Versus

State of Maharashtra and Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. Vivek Joshi for the applicants.

Adv. Vandana Joshi for respondent no. 2.

Smt. Aruna Pai, APP for the State.

CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKARI &
NITIN R. BORKAR, JJ.
DATE : DECEMBER 12, 2019

P.C.:

On behalf of the petitioners, petitioner no. 1 is present with advocate. Respondent no.2 complainant is present with her advocate. Learned APP appears for the State.

2. Petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2 are jointly requesting for quashing and setting aside of the FIR which has arisen essentially out of the matrimonial dispute.

3. Respondent no.2 has orally given no objection in Court.

4. The consent terms for divorce were filed in the Family Court, Thane in Petition bearing No. A-342 of 2017 and marriage between the parties is already dissolved.

5. Today, separate affidavits on same line is tendered by petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2. The affidavits are taken on record.

6. In view of these developments, we make the rule absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

(NITIN R. BORKAR, J.)

(B.P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)