

VPH

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION No. 3210 OF 2019

Dipesh Shashikant Patel & Ors. ... Petitioners
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ... Respondents

Mr. A. R. Maurya i/b ARM Legal, for the Petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Thakre, PP a/w, Mrs. S. D. Shinde, APP for the
Respondent – State.

Mr. Sharad Rai, for Respondent No. 2.

**CORAM : B. P. DHARMADHIKARI, &
NITIN W. SAMBRE, JJ.**

DATE : NOVEMBER 25, 2019

PC :

1. Heard. Rule. Rule made returnable forthwith.
By consent of parties, petition is taken up for final hearing.

2. Petitioner No. 1 is present with his advocate.
Respondent No. 2 is present with her advocate. Learned
APP is present.

3. Parties are jointly requesting for quashing FIR,
which arose out of matrimonial discord. FIR is registered
under Sections 498-A, 323, 406, 504, 506 read with 34 of
Indian Penal Code.

4. In Petition No. F – 2135 of 2019 filed in Family Court at Bandra in Mumbai, consent terms have already been presented on 3rd June, 2019, and accordingly, marriage between the parties is already dissolved on 4th October, 2019.

5. The complainant (Respondent No. 2 herein) has today handed over an affidavit giving her 'no objection', with xerox copy of Adhar Card and the Pan card. In view of joint request, we make rule absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

Sd/-

[NITIN W. SAMBRE, J.]

Sd/-

[B. P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.]

Vinayak Halemath