

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 329 OF 2017
IN
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 193 OF 2002

Asstt. Collector of Customs (P), New Custom House, Mumbai	]	... Applicant (Orig. Complainant)
Versus		
1. Gumansingh Achal Singh Rathod & Anr.	]	... Respondents (Orig. Accused)

Ms.Anuradha A. Mane for applicant in APPR/329/2017.

Mr.N.B. Patil, APP for respondent No.2-State.

CORAM : N.J. JAMADAR, J.
DATE : 20th DECEMBER, 2019.

P. C. :-

1. Heard the learned counsel for respondent No.1.

2. It is pointed out that by a self operative order dated 6th September 2004, Revision Application No.193 of 2004 came to be dismissed for default in taking requisite steps. The learned counsel submits that during the pendency of the revision application, the revision applicant was released on bail for a temporary period by an order dated 10th May 2002 and the said order came to be continued on 10th June 2002.

3. It is the grievance of the respondent No.1 that despite the dismissal of the revision application, by the self-operative order, dated 6th September 2004, the sentence imposed upon the revision applicant has not been been executed in view of the aforesaid bail order. It would be suffice to clarify that in view of the dismissal of the Revision Application No.193 of 2002, all the orders of releasing the revision applicant on bail till the disposal of the revision application, came to an end.

4. With this clarification, the application stands disposed of.

(N.J. JAMADAR, J.)