

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.2661 OF 2019

Vilas Shantaram Kaldhone	... Petitioner
Vs.	
The State of Maharashtra	... Respondent

Shri Shashikant d. Chandak, advocate for the petitioner.
Shri Afran Sait, APP for the respondent – State.

CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKARI AND
MRS. SWAPNA S. JOSHI, JJ.

DATE : 5th AUGUST 2019.

P.C. :

1. It is admitted position that petitioner, a convict under POCSO Act, 2012, is not eligible to benefit of either parole or furlough leave.
2. He has made grievance of general nature stating that prisoners above 60 years of age and even upto 90 years or 100 years are still undergoing punishment. He has, therefore, suggested a standardised formula for allowing them remission after crossing a particular age.
3. We find the grievance erroneous, hence Writ Petition is rejected and disposed of.

(MRS. SWAPNA S. JOSHI, J.)

(B.P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)